1 I	1	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	INITED OT ATEC DIC	TRICT COLIRT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
0	AT SEAT	ΓLE
9		
10	OLIVIA MORA,	CASE NO. C23-1008JLR
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER
12	V.	
13	BURN AND PLASTIC HAND CLINIC, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a	
17	summons and a copy of the plaintiff's complaint and sets forth the specific requirements	
18	for doing so. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which	
19	service must be effectuated, states in relevant part:	
20	If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss	
21	the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the	
22	failure, the court must extend the time for	<u>-</u>
<i></i>		

1	Id. Here, it appears that Plaintiff has failed to serve Defendants with a summons and a	
2	copy of Plaintiff's complaint within the timeframe provided in Rule 4(m). (See generally	
3	Dkt.; see also Certificate of Service (Dkt. # 8) (containing only a receipt and no proof of	
4	service).)	
5	Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE by October 27,	
6	2023, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with Rule 4(m).	
7	Alternatively, Plaintiff may respond, by October 27, 2023, with proof that Defendants	
8	have in fact been served or has agreed to waive service. If Plaintiff does not demonstrate	
9	good cause for the failure to comply with Rule 4(m), the court will dismiss the action	
10	without prejudice. The Clerk is DIRECTED to provide a copy of this order to Plaintiff.	
11	Dated this 16th day of October.	
12	Jun R. Klit	
13	JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		